

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 22-20707-MC-MARTINEZ-BECERRA

FARHAD AZIMA,
Petitioner,
vs.

INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH LLC
AND SDC-GADOT LLC,
Respondents.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE OF NONAPPEARANCE
AND STATEMENT ON THE RECORD
FOR THE REMOTE DEPOSITION
OF CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE OF SDC-GADOT LLC

DATE TAKEN: June 15, 2022

TIME: 2:00 p.m. - 2:22 p.m.
(Based on Time Zone from Notice)

Reported By:
Gianna Vizzone, CER No. 1417
Notary Public for the State of Florida

June 15, 2022

1 APPEARANCES

2
3 On behalf of FARHAD AZIMA:

CARLTON FIELDS P.A.

4 BY: MICHAEL PASANO, ESQUIRE

700 North West 1st Avenue, Suite 1200

5 Miami, Florida 33136

MPasano@carltonfields.com

6 APPEARED VIA VIDEO TELECONFERENCE

7
8 On behalf of FARHAD AZIMA:

CARLTON FIELDS P.A.

9 BY: TED DELCIMA, ESQUIRE

700 North West 1st Avenue, Suite 1200

10 Miami, Florida 33136

tdelcima@carltonfields.com

11 APPEARED VIA VIDEO TELECONFERENCE

June 15, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF NONAPPEARANCE
RE: CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE OF SDC-GADOT LLC

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)
COUNTY OF VOLUSIA)

I, Gianna Vizzone, CER No. 1417, do hereby certify that pursuant to the Notice of MICHAEL PASANO, ESQUIRE, on behalf of FARHAD AZIMA, I did appear via video teleconference at 2:00 p.m. and was present until 2:30 p.m. on June 15th, 2022 for the purpose of placing under oath and reporting the Deposition of CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE OF SDC-GADOT LLC, that MICHAEL PASANO, ESQUIRE, counsel for FARHAD AZIMA, and TED DELCIMA, ESQUIRE; counsel for FARHAD AZIMA, were present, that CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE OF SDC-GADOT LLC did not appear by 2:30 p.m.; that the above persons remained present until 2:30 p.m., by which time the aforementioned witness had not appeared for the purpose of having their Deposition taken.

I do further certify that I am a disinterested person and am in no way interested in the outcome of this action or connected with or related to any of the parties in this action or to their respective counsel.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing certificate and that the facts stated in it are true.

DATED this 15th day of June 2022.

Gianna Vizzone, CER No. 1417
U.S. Legal Support, Inc.

June 15, 2022

1 Statement on the record regarding the scheduled
2 Deposition of CORPORATE REPRESENTATIVE OF SDC-GADOT
3 LLC taken by Gianna Vizzone, CER No. 1417, pursuant to
4 Notice.

5 - - - - -

6 STATEMENT OF MICHAEL PASANO, ESQUIRE

7
8 BY MR. PASANO, ESQUIRE: This is Mike Pasano
9 from Carlton Fields along with Ted Delcima in
10 connection with the case of Farhad Azima,
11 A-Z-I-M-A, Applicant vs. Insight Analysis and
12 Research, LLC and SDC-Gadot, G-A-D-O-T, the
13 Respondents. Case number is 1:22-mc-20707-
14 JEM/BECERRA, B-E-C-E-R-R-A. I am one of the
15 attorneys for Mr. Azima.

16 We are here pursuant to a court order issued
17 on June 8, 2022, which directed, among other
18 things, that the Respondents provide a corporate
19 representative to appear for deposition today for
20 one of the Respondents of Insight at 10:00 a.m.
21 this morning and for the other Respondent,
22 SDC-Gadot at 2:00 p.m. this afternoon.

23 This morning at the scheduled deposition of
24 Insight, no 30(b)(6) representative appeared.
25 Counsel at that time put on the record at that

June 15, 2022

1 deposition the areas of inquiry that would have
2 been pursued had someone appeared and we adopt
3 those same areas of inquiry for purposes of this
4 deposition. And when we submit matters to the
5 court, we'll submit the transcript of that
6 deposition, which we're adopting here.

7 We also adopt counsel's statements and
8 admonitions in connection with that deposition.
9 Counsel for Applicant, Azima, has reached out to
10 the counsel for these two entities, Insight and
11 Gadot. And we understand that no Gadot
12 representative intends to appear this afternoon.
13 We've been in the deposition room since 2:00 p.m.
14 No one has appeared. It is now 2:22 in the
15 afternoon, no one has appeared. Accordingly, we
16 ask that the court reporter note the
17 nonappearance on the record and we will move
18 ahead as counsel for Applicant to seek with the
19 court appropriate sanctions for the
20 nonappearances.

21 That's my statement, Gianna. Again, no one
22 is here. I ask you to certify or release to take
23 down the representation that no one appeared.

24 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.

25 MR. PASANO: And we're done, I think.

June 15, 2022

1 (OFF THE RECORD)

2 (ON THE RECORD)

3 MR. PASANO: So, how quickly can we have
4 this typed up and in our hands?

5 THE COURT REPORTER: It's completely up to
6 you. We do any type of turnaround. Normal
7 turnaround is two weeks for a normal order.

8 MR. PASANO: Okay. But yeah, so what's
9 going to happen is we have to go to the court, so
10 if it's possible to have it tomorrow and whatever
11 that price is, that's what we'll take.

12 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. I will do that.

13 (Whereupon, this Statement on the record was
14 concluded at 2:22 p.m.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

June 15, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER


STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF VOLUSIA)

I, Gianna Vizzone, CER No. 1417, do hereby
certify that I was authorized to and did
electronically report the foregoing Statement on the
record; and that it was reduced to typewriting under
my direction; and that the foregoing is a true and
accurate electronic recording of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
employee, or attorney, or counsel of any of the
parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the
parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the
action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED this 15th day of June 2022.


Gianna Vizzone, CER No. 1417

June 15, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST

I, Sarah Albaladejo, do hereby certify that I transcribed the electronic recording produced by Gianna Vizzzone, CER No. 1417 of the Statement on the record; and that the foregoing transcript is a true transcript of said electronic recording.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED this 15th day of June 2022.



Sarah Albaladejo

--	--	--	--

Statement on Record of Michael Pasano, Esquire

June 15, 2022

--	--	--	--

Statement on Record of Michael Pasano, Esquire

June 15, 2022

--	--	--

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

3 CASE NO.: 22-20707-MC-MARTINEZ-BECERRA

4 In re: Application Pursuant to
5 28 U.S.C. § 1782 of

6 FARHAD AZIMA,

7 Petitioner,

8 vs.

9 INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH
10 LLC AND SDC-GADOT LLC

11 Respondent.
12
13

14 STATEMENT OF C/R Insight and Research, LLC
15 COUNSEL:

16 DATE TAKEN: June 15, 2022

17 TIME: 10:00 A.M. - 10:44 A.M.

18 PLACE: Via Video Teleconference

19 TAKEN BEFORE: ABBY G. SCHOFEL, FPR
20 AND NOTARY PUBLIC
21
22
23
24
25

1 APPEARANCES:

2 MICHAEL PASANO, ESQUIRE
3 TED DELCIMA, ESQUIRE
4 Carlton Fields
5 700 NW 1st Avenue
6 Suite 1200
7 Miami, Florida 33156
8 MPasano@carltonfields.com

9 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER

10 And

11 KIRBY BEHRE, ESQUIRE
12 CALVIN LEE, ESQUIRE
13 Miller & Chevalier Chartered
14 900 16th Street NW
15 Washington
16 DC, 20006
17 kbehre@milchev.com

18 APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were
2 held.)

3 MR. PASANO: This is Mike Pasano, local
4 counsel on behalf of Farhad Azima, the applicant in
5 this case. Case No. 122MC2707JEM/BECCERA
6 B-e-c-e-r-r-a. We are here at Carlton Fields for
7 the deposition scheduled for 10:00 a.m. for an
8 entity called SDC-Gadot G-a-d-o-t.

9 Also present with me in the office is Ted
10 Delcima and remotely present from the DC law firm,
11 who are main counsel, Miller & Chavalier is Kirby
12 Behre and Calvin Lee. No one has appeared on
13 behalf of SDC-Gadot. Let me turn this over to
14 Calvin.

15 MR. LEE: Thank you Mike. Just to be a little
16 clear on the record first our understanding was
17 this is also a deposition for Insight Analysis and
18 Research, LLC, the entity scheduled for 10 a.m.
19 This is Calvin Lee appearing on behalf of the
20 petitioner, Farhad Azima, from the firm Miller &
21 Chevalier in Washington DC.

22 We are here for the 30 (b)(6) deposition of
23 Insight Analysis and Research, LLC. This
24 deposition is in connection with a case pending in
25 the high court of Justice of England and Whales

1 styled Farhad Azima vs. Ras Al Khaimah Investment
2 Authority, David Neil Gerrard, Dechert, LLP and
3 James Edward Denistone Buchanan. Claim number
4 HC-2016-002798. We have been waiting for this
5 deposition to start and it's now past 30 minutes.
6 It appears no one from the company will be
7 attending. We also spoke with counsel representing
8 the respondent who has informed us that nobody from
9 the respondent company or other respondent
10 companies scheduled for today's depositions will be
11 attending. On March 8th, 2022 Mr. Azima filed an
12 application in the Southern District of Florida for
13 an order to take discovery pursuant to 28 USC
14 §1782. Mr. Azima sought documents and 30(b)(6)
15 depositions from Insight and another company
16 SDC-Gadot, LLC, as well as a deposition of Mr. Amit
17 Forlit who controls these companies.

18 On March 10th, 2022 Judge Martinez in the
19 Southern District of Florida granted Mr. Azima's
20 order. On June 8th, 2022 Judge Martinez ordered
21 the depositions of Insight and Gadot to proceed
22 today, June 15th, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.
23 respectively with possible sanctions for failure to
24 comply. On June 14th, 2022 Judge Martinez denied
25 motions by respondents for extension of time and

1 for protective order to limit the deposition
2 topics. To date Insight as well as the other
3 companies have failed to produce any documents in
4 response to the document subpoena. Had the
5 respondent appeared we would have addressed the
6 following topics for which we now seek adverse
7 inferences for.

8 One, the formation of Insight.

9 Two, the relation of SDC-Gadot, LLC to Insight
10 Analysis and Research, LLC.

11 Three, the relationship of Insight to Gadot
12 Information Services.

13 Four, the identity and roles of all employees,
14 officers, directors, managers or other individuals
15 associated with Insight including but not limited
16 to Amit Forlit and Alon Omri Gurlavie.

17 Five, the entities and roles of any
18 accountants, lawyers and registered agents used by
19 Insight including but not limited to Ari Propis and
20 Shimon Goldberger.

21 Six, the nature of the work performed by
22 Insight who that work was performed for and the
23 individuals and companies used to perform that
24 work.

25 Seven, the nature and extent of the work

1 performed for Stuart Page, Page Group, Page Risk
2 Management or any other entities related to Stuart
3 Page.

4 Eight, the account information related to
5 Insight including details of the bank account held
6 by Insight, details regarding the transactions
7 identified in Insight's bank statements and details
8 regarding the use of debit cards held by Insight.

9 Nine, the nature and purpose of all the
10 transactions conducted by Insight including but not
11 limited to transactions conducted with the
12 following entities. Yesodot; Page Group; ME DMCC,
13 Gadot Information Services; Dinka Analysis
14 Services; Mona Tours Limited; Brian Tulloch; King
15 of Drums, Incorporated; Ezekiel Golan Intellectual;
16 Yeret; SP.Zoo.Olhagary Ltd; Page Risk Management
17 DMCC; JJPI Power and Energy; Amit Forlit; Hayarkon
18 48 Hoatels Ltd; Ariel Trading Limited; BHB Media,
19 LLC; ASAF Adoni; Global Impact Services, LLC; SAS
20 Luxury N Sight; Tekara Cyber Solutions, DOVRA
21 Hashimshoni.

22 Number ten, the nature and extent of all
23 business conducted in Florida by Insight, Amit
24 Forlit or any other individuals associated with
25 Insight including but not limited to all

1 transactions with Florida companies, all
2 transactions conducted in Florida and all property
3 owned in Florida.

4 Number 11, all work related to Farhad Azima,
5 including but not limited to work regarding the
6 investigation of Mr. Azima and others affiliated
7 with Mr. Azima, and the hacking of Mr. Azima and
8 others affiliated with Mr. Azima and the obtaining
9 of Mr. Azima's confidential data.

10 Number 12, the litigation between Mr. Azima
11 and Ras Al Khaimah Investment Authority in both the
12 United States and in the United kingdom including
13 but not limited to all meetings related to that
14 litigation in which an individual associated with
15 Insight participated.

16 Number 13, all interactions between anyone
17 affiliated with Insight and anyone affiliated with
18 Ras Al Khaimah, Dechert LLP, Stewarts Law, Vital
19 Management Services Incorporated. Grayson +
20 Company Limited, Karv Communications, CyberRoot,
21 Majdi Halabi and;

22 Number 14, the prior document and deposition
23 subpoenas served in this proceeding and the failure
24 to comply with those subpoenas.

25 Once again, the topics that we have listed

1 here are the ones we would have asked had
2 respondent appeared and these are the topics for
3 which we now seek possible adverse inferences.

4 In addition, had respondents appeared today we
5 would have asked about the following categories of
6 documents they were instructed to produce and under
7 a document subpoena. These documents include the
8 following categories and topics:

9 No. 1, all documents and communications from
10 February 2015 to present relating to or reflecting
11 any work performed by you Mr. -- which refers to
12 Insight. Mr. Amit Forlit and/ or Mr. Stuart Page
13 relating directly or indirectly to Mr. Farhad Azima
14 or Azima's Associates including but not limited to
15 sub A; all reports prepared about Mr. Azima and/or
16 Azima's Associates.

17 B, all documents of Mr. Azima or others
18 obtained for the project including all hacked data
19 from Mr. Azima or Azima's Associates whether or not
20 it was included in a report.

21 C, all engagement letters relating to Mr.
22 Azima or the project.

23 D, all invoices for the project including but
24 not limited to invoices sent to Page Group ME,
25 Limited and any other Page Group Entity.

1 E, all communications regarding Mr. Azima or
2 Azima's Associates. And all communications about
3 any website's torrents or WeTransfer links relating
4 to Mr. Azima.

5 Category and topic two: All documents and
6 communications from January, 2018 to present
7 relating to Mr. Azima's trial and/or attempt to
8 mislead or a conspiracy to mislead the UK court
9 about the discovery of the hacked data including
10 but not limited to sub A; all communications with
11 Mr. Neil Gerrard, Mr. David Hughes, Mr. Jamie
12 Buchanan, Mr. Amit Forlit, Mr. Majdi Halabi, Mr.
13 Stuart Page, Dechert LLC Stewart's Law.

14 B, all documents and communication regarding
15 meetings between or among you and/or any of the
16 conspirators including at the Hotel Moosegg in
17 Switzerland, any hotel in Cypress, or any offices
18 of Dechert, LLP.

19 And C, all travel records reflecting trips to
20 Switzerland, Cypress or England.

21 Under Rule 37 if a party or a party's officer,
22 director or managing agent or a witness designated
23 under Rule 30 (b)(6) or 31(a)(4) fail to obey an
24 order to provide or permit discovery including an
25 order under Rule 26(f) 35, or 37(a) the court where

1 the action is pending may issue further just
2 orders. They may include the following:

3 Sub one, directing that the matter embrace in
4 the order or other designated facts be taken as
5 established for purposes of the action as the
6 prevailing party claims. Pursuant to this rule we
7 will seek sanctions and adverse inferences. We
8 reserve the right to explain further in our motion
9 for sanctions the precise content of the topics
10 that I have just discussed and the adverse
11 inferences as well.

12 MR. PASANO: If I can just add to the record a
13 clarification that Calvin already identified. The
14 10:00 a.m. deposition that we are doing now is for
15 the entity Insight Analysis and Research LLC. The
16 2:00 p.m. deposition is for SDC-Gadot, G-a-d-o-t.
17 As a matter of housekeeping, Abby, we will send you
18 or make sure that you have the spelling of all
19 these names because some of them are not obvious.
20 And the only correction is that the Judge who
21 actually entered the order on June 8th and on
22 June 14th is the Honorable Magistrate Becerra
23 acting on the authorization of Judge Martinez and
24 so I -- I make that additional correction.

25 At this point no one has appeared even now as

1 we have been talking -- I have by my clock 10:42.
2 I would ask, Abby, that you type this up and
3 certify the non-appearance of the entity. Hold on.

4 MR. BEHRE: Calvin wasn't done.

5 MR. PASSANO I apologize.

6 MR. LEE: In addition, other topics we would
7 have asked had Mr. Forlit appeared would be whether
8 Mr. Forlit submitted invoices to Mr. Page for
9 hacking services and whether Mr. Forlit controlled
10 Insight in all material respects.

11 As a function of the topics that we outlined
12 above we have incurred the -- we reserve -- as I
13 mentioned before we reserve the right to further
14 explain in our motion for sanctions under Rule 37
15 the precise contents of these adverse inferences
16 for which we seek the court's remedy.

17 We have incurred the expenses associated with
18 preparing for this deposition and ensuring that a
19 court reporter is present. However it appears that
20 no company representative is attending.

21 In a call with respondent's counsel he, in
22 fact, this morning informed us that no
23 representative is prepared to testify at a 30(b)(6)
24 deposition. In reliance on this representation we
25 will treat this as a failure to appear also for

June 15, 2022

1 SDC-Gadot and Amit Forlit otherwise scheduled for
2 today. We leave this deposition open and seek
3 additional relief from the court.

4 (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25


C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

I, Abby Schofel, Court Reporter, do hereby
certify that I was authorized to and did report the
foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript, pages 1
through 12, is a true and correct record of my
stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not a relative,
employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, nor
financially interested in the foregoing action.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2022, Miami-Dade
County, Florida.



ABBY SCHOFEL, FPR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 22-20707-MC-MARTINEZ-BECERRA

In re: Application Pursuant to
28 U.S.C. § 1782 of

FARHAD AZIMA,

Petitioner,

vs.

INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH
LLC AND SDC-GADOT LLC

Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF NONAPPEARANCE


In Re: C/R Insight Analysis and Research, LLC

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF MIAMI DADE

I, Abby G. Schofel, FPR, do hereby certify that I was present via zoom for a depo in Miami, Florida on June 15, 2022 for the purpose of reporting the deposition of the C/R of Insight Analysis and Research, LLC was scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m. and that Michael Pasano Esq., Ted Delcma, Esq., Kirby Behre, Esq., and Calvin Lee, Esq., were present and that the C/R of Insight Analysis and Research did not appear by 10:40 a.m.

Under penalty of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing certificate and that the facts state in it are true.

DATED this 15th day of June, 2022.



ABBY G. SCHOFEL
U.S. Legal Support, Inc.,
1 SE 3rd Avenue, Suite 2500
Miami, Florida 33131

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.: 22-20707-MC-MARTINEZ

In re: Application pursuant to
28 U.S.C. 1782 of

FARHAD AZIMA

Petitioner,

-vs-

INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH
LLC AND SDC-GADOT LLC,

Respondents.

SCHEDULED DEPOSITION OF INSIGHT ANALYSIS
AND RESEARCH LLC RULE 30(b)(6)
Pages 1 through 6

Monday, May 2, 2022
10:34 a.m. - 10:37 a.m.
700 NW 1st Avenue
Suite 1200
Miami, Florida 33136

Stenographically Reported By:
Jennifer L. Bermudez, RPR
Registered Professional Reporter

May 02, 2022

APPEARANCES
VIA REMOTE VIDEO CONFERENCE

On Behalf of the Petitioner:
MILLER & CHEVALIER CHARTERED
900 16th Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20006
202-626-5800
iherbert@milchev.com
BY: IAN A. HERBERT, ESQUIRE

* * * * *

May 02, 2022

1 Scheduled deposition taken before Jennifer L.
2 Bermudez, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary
3 Public in and for the State of Florida at Large in the
4 above cause.

5 * * * * *

6 MR. HERBERT: I am Ian Herbert, counsel for
7 Farhad Azima, and we are here for the 30(b)(6)
8 deposition of insight Alanysis and Research LLC. The
9 deposition is in connection with a case pending in the
10 High Court of Justice in England and Wales styled Farhad
11 Azima v. RAKIA, David Neil Gerrard, Dechert LLP, and
12 James Edward Deniston Buchanan.

13 We have been waiting for this deposition to
14 start for about 34 minutes, since 10:00 a.m. It appears
15 that nobody from the company will be attending.

16 Just to summarize how we got here, on March 8,
17 2022, Mr. Azima filed an application with the Southern
18 District of Florida for an order to take discovery
19 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1782. Mr. Azima sought
20 documents and 30(b)(6) depositions from Insight and
21 another company SDC-Gadot LLC as well as the deposition
22 of Amit Forlit who controls those companies.

23 Then on March 10, 2022 Judge Martinez in the
24 Southern District of Florida granted Mr. Azima's order.

25 On March 23, 2022, the subpoena for documents

May 02, 2022

1 was personally served on Shimon Goldberger, the
2 registered agent for Insight. Documents were due at the
3 offices of Carlton Fields on April 29, 2022.

4 I spoke with Mr. Goldberger on March 31, 2022,
5 he confirmed that he received the subpoenas and provided
6 them to the appropriate individuals at Insight. He also
7 agreed to accept service of the deposition subpoenas via
8 email. Shortly afterwards, the subpoenas for this
9 30(b)(6) deposition of Insight as well as the 30(b)(6)
10 deposition of SDC-Gadot were sent to Mr. Goldberger with
11 the deposition date of today, May 2, 2022.
12 Mr. Goldberger confirmed that he provided the subpoena to
13 the relevant individuals.

14 On Monday, April 25, 2022, one week before this
15 scheduled deposition, I emailed Mr. Goldberger to confirm
16 that someone from the companies would attend. In
17 multiple emails last week Mr. Goldberger refused to
18 answer whether a company representative would attend the
19 deposition.

20 Last Friday, April 29, 2022, Insight failed to
21 produce any documents in response to the document
22 subpoena and we have continued to go forward with this
23 deposition, we have incurred the expenses associated with
24 preparing for the deposition and ensuring that a court
25 reporter is present.

May 02, 2022

1 It appears that no company representative will
2 attend at this time, so we will leave the deposition
3 open and seek additional relief from the court.

4 Thank you.

5 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you like to order
6 the transcript?

7 MR. HERBERT: Yes, that will be great.

8 (The deposition was concluded at 10:37 a.m.)

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE

I, Jennifer L. Bermudez, Registered Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the scheduled deposition of INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH LLC Rule 30(b)(6) and that the transcript is a true record of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

Dated this 2nd day of May, 2022.

Jennifer L. Bermudez, RPR
Registered Professional Reporter

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
MIAMI DIVISION
CASE NO.: 22-20707-MC-MARTINEZ

In re: Application pursuant to
28 U.S.C. 1782 of

FARHAD AZIMA

Petitioner,

-vs-

INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH
LLC AND SDC-GADOT LLC,
Respondents.

_____ /

CERTIFICATE OF NONAPPEARANCE


In Re: Deposition of INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH LLC
RULE 30(b)(6)

STATE OF FLORIDA)
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)

I, Jennifer L. Bermudez, RPR, do hereby certify that I was present at the offices of Carlton Fields, 700 NW 1st Avenue, Suite 1200, Miami, Florida 33136 on May 2, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. for the purpose of reporting the deposition of INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH LLC RULE 30(b)(6), scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m.; that Ian A. Herbert, Esquire and Raul Torres, videographer were present; and that INSIGHT ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH LLC RULE 30(b)(6) did not appear by 10:30 a.m.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing certificate and that the facts stated in it are true.

DATED THIS 2nd day of May, 2022



Jennifer L. Bermudez, RPR
U.S. Legal Support, Inc.
One Southeast Third Avenue
Suite 1250
Miami, Fl 33131
305-373-8404